

c) In Δ 's EBD and EAC we have:

- $\hat{E}BD = \hat{E}CA$ (proved).
- $\hat{B}ED = \hat{A}EC$ (Common angle)

→ Thus Δ 's EBD and EAC are similar by Angle-Angle postulate.

Ratio of similarity:

$$\begin{array}{l} \Delta EBD \\ \Delta ECA \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} \textcircled{1} \\ \textcircled{2} \\ \textcircled{3} \end{array} \right. \frac{EB}{EC} = \frac{ED}{EA} = \frac{BD}{CA} = k_1$$

From ratios ① & ③ we get:

$$\frac{EB}{EC} = \frac{BD}{CA}$$

→ Thus $EB \times CA = EC \times BD$.

4a) $\vec{CM} = \vec{AB} + \vec{AC}$ (given)

and $\vec{AB} + \vec{AC} = \vec{AF}$ (sum of vectors having same origin where F is the 4th vertex of parm ABFC)

So, $\vec{AF} = \vec{CM}$.

b) $\vec{CM} = \vec{AF}$ (proved)

(O) is a circle of diameter [BC] and center O (given)

So, O is mid pt of [BC]

then, O is mid pt of [AF] (diagonals of a parm)

Thus, $\vec{CM} = 2\vec{AO}$.

→ $CM = 2AO$ (proved)

And, $2AO = BC = 10\text{ cm}$ (radius of diameter)

Also C is a fixed pt.

Thus, as A varies on (O) M describes a circle of center C & radius $CM = 2AO = 10\text{ cm}$.